

Quarterly Report September 30, 2018

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following commentary reviews the consolidated financial condition and consolidated results of operations of Farm Credit Illinois, ACA and its subsidiaries Farm Credit Illinois, FLCA and Farm Credit Illinois, PCA. This discussion should be read in conjunction with both the unaudited consolidated financial information and related notes included in this Quarterly Report as well as Management's Discussion and Analysis included in our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 (2017 Annual Report).

Due to the nature of our financial relationship with AgriBank, FCB (AgriBank), the financial condition and results of operations of AgriBank materially impact our members' investment. To request free copies of the AgriBank or the AgriBank District financial reports or additional copies of our report, contact us at:

AgriBank, FCB

(651) 282-8800

St. Paul, MN 55101

www.agribank.com

30 East 7th Street, Suite 1600

financialreporting@agribank.com

Farm Credit Illinois, ACA 1100 Farm Credit Drive Mahomet, IL 61853 (217) 590-2200 www.farmcreditIL.com

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Any forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report are based on current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from expectations due to a number of risks and uncertainties. More information about these risks and uncertainties is contained in our 2017 Annual Report. We undertake no duty to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

AGRICULTURAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The September 12, 2018, World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimate increased estimated U.S. corn production by 241 million bushels from the August report. Even with projections for increased domestic use and higher exports, ending stocks are now expected to be 90 million bushels over the August figure. The September 12 United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Crop Production report estimates a national average corn yield of 181.3 bushels per acre, up 4.7 bushels from last year. If realized, this would be the highest yield on record for the United States. The projected season-average corn price was lowered by \$0.10, to a midpoint of \$3.50. The report estimates another record for soybean production at 4.693 billion bushels, up 107 million bushels on a record high yield of 52.8 bushels per acre, up 3.7 bushels from 2017. The 2018/2019 U.S. season-average soybean price is forecast at \$7.35 to \$9.85 per bushel, down \$0.30 per bushel at the midpoint. Corn prices are near the high-end of the range compared to a year ago, while soybeans prices are projected with a much larger range and a midpoint \$0.60 less than last year.

Corn maturation is almost complete at 96% compared to 71% a year ago according to the Illinois Crop Progress and Condition report released October 1. Corn harvest is 48% complete compared to 28% the prior week and the 25% average over the past five years. Soybeans were 35% harvested versus 17% the week before. Soybean harvest is ahead of the 2017 pace when Illinois was at 27%, the 5-year average is 20%.

Gary Schnitkey, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois, recently updated his gross revenue estimates for 2018 and projections for 2019. Budgets have been adjusted for recent yield estimates and the Market Facilitation Program. The Market Facilitation Program is a USDA program that will provide direct payments to producers to compensate for market losses as a result of foreign retaliatory tariffs. With these adjustments, Schnitkey anticipates 2018 gross revenues could be near 2017 levels assuming exceptional yields and hedging moves activity implemented prior to May. Given lower yields or no early hedging, 2018 gross revenues will be well below those of last year. For 2019, with higher costs, historical yield projections and prices of \$3.60 per bushel for corn and \$8.50 per bushel for soybeans, projected returns are "dismal". Much will depend on whether tariff disputes are resolved.

International trade negotiations have had a significant impact on U.S. grain markets with the implementation of new U.S. tariffs on Chinese goods and the aforementioned retaliatory tariffs. As a result of the tariffs, U.S. commodity prices have seen a rapid decline in the last quarter. According to the Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois, soybean prices fell to their lowest point in almost a decade, a decline of \$2.50 per bushel from a high of \$10.34 to a low of \$7.84 in the middle of July. Price declines are not entirely due to trade issues but they are the primary driver. As the tariffs have gone into effect, a price gap has developed between U.S. and Brazilian soybean export prices, reaching \$1.90 per bushel in September. As prices for U.S. soybeans have fallen, exports to buyers outside of China have jumped in the last quarter. China has increasingly sourced

soybeans from Brazil and Argentina, however, it is not likely the rest of the world can meet Chinese soybean demand in the first half of the 2018-2019 marketing year which is their peak demand period.

As reported by Jacob M. Schlesinger, Kim Mackrael, and Vivian Salama of the Wall Street Journal, the U.S. and Canada reached a last minute deal on September 30, resulting in a deal known as the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement which revises the North American Free Trade Agreement. The new agreement is welcomed by the farm and agribusiness communities, particularly dairy producers. Canada agreed to eliminate its so-called Class 7 milk pricing system which imposed a 241% tariff on U.S. milk imports compared to a 0% tariff on Canadian milk. The move will likely benefit pork, cheese, and other food products, and reduce the likelihood that Canada will turn to corn exporters that compete with U.S. corn producers. The agreement, along with a new U.S.-South Korea Free Trade Agreement, provides encouragement in a difficult year for U.S. commodity prices.

LOAN PORTFOLIO

Loan Portfolio

Total loans were \$4.2 billion at September 30, 2018, an increase of \$95.3 million from December 31, 2017. The increase was due to several factors including strong Capital Markets performance, a growing Government Guaranteed Loan portfolio, aggressive pricing in our core business, and lower than normal repayment rates.

Portfolio Credit Quality

The credit quality of our portfolio declined from December 31, 2017. Adversely classified loans increased to 2.9% of the portfolio at September 30, 2018, from 2.5% of the portfolio at December 31, 2017. Adversely classified loans are loans we have identified as showing some credit weakness outside our credit standards. We have considered portfolio credit quality in assessing the reasonableness of our allowance for loan losses.

In certain circumstances, government guarantee programs are used to reduce the risk of loss. At September 30, 2018, \$134.6 million of our loans were, to some level, guaranteed under these government programs.

Risk Assets

(dellare in the user de)	S	tombor 20	December 04		
(dollars in thousands)	Sep	tember 30			
As of:		2018		2017	
Loans:					
Nonaccrual	\$	5,950	\$	5,540	
Accruing restructured		67		110	
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due		1,284			
Total risk loans		7,301		5,650	
Other property owned					
Total risk assets	\$	7,301	\$	5,650	
Total risk loans as a percentage of total loans		0.2%		0.1%	
Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans		0.1%		0.1%	
Current nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total nonaccrual loans		26.1%		45.1%	
Total delinguencies as a percentage of total loans		0.2%		0.2%	

Note: Accruing loans include accrued interest receivable.

As of September 30, 2018, risk assets and related ratios are within established risk management guidelines.

The increase in nonaccrual loans and accruing loans 90 days or more past due was primarily a reflection of the stress in the agricultural economy. Nonaccrual loans remained at an acceptable level at September 30, 2018, and December 31, 2017. Our accounting policy requires loans past due 90 days or more to be transferred into nonaccrual status unless adequately secured and in the process of collection. Based on our analysis, accruing loans 90 days or more past due were eligible to remain in accruing status.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of losses on loans in our portfolio as of the financial statement date. We determine the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses based on periodic evaluation of factors such as loan loss history, estimated probability of default, estimated loss severity, portfolio quality, and current economic and environmental conditions.

Allowance Coverage Ratios

	September 30	December 31
As of:	2018	2017
Allowance as a percentage of:		
Loans	0.3%	0.2%
Nonaccrual loans	176.9%	128.4%
Total risk loans	144.1%	125.9%

In our opinion, the allowance for loan losses was reasonable in relation to the risk in our loan portfolio at September 30, 2018.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Profitability Information

(dollars in thousands) For the nine months ended September 30	2018	2017
Net income Return on average assets Return on average members' equity	\$ 49,976 1.6% 7.0%	\$ 52,648 1.8% 8.0%

Changes in the chart above relate directly to:

- Changes in income discussed below
- Changes in assets discussed in the Loan Portfolio section
- Changes in capital discussed in the Funding, Liquidity, and Capital section

Changes in Significant Components of Net Income

(in thousands) For the nine months ended September 30	2018	2017	Increase (decrease) in net income
Net interest income	\$ 73,479 \$	71,498 \$	1,981
Provision for loan losses	3,468	1,574	(1,894)
Patronage income	13,295	14,811	(1,516)
Other income, net	9,912	6,292	3,620
Operating expenses	44,733	37,786	(6,947)
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	 (1,491)	593	2,084
Net income	\$ 49,976 \$	52,648 \$	(2,672)

Changes in Net Interest Income

(in thousands) For the nine months ended September 30	20)18 vs 2017
Changes in volume	\$	4,023
Changes in interest rates		(2,073)
Changes in nonaccrual income and other		31
Net change	\$	1,981

The change in provision for loan losses was primarily related to a production and intermediate-term loan that moved from substandard viable to substandard non-viable during the first guarter of 2018.

The change in patronage income was primarily related to a decrease in patronage received from AgriBank due to a lower patronage rate compared to the prior year, partially offset by a higher average balance on our note payable. Further, we had a decrease in patronage income received on loans in the AgriBank Asset Pool Program due to lower earnings on loans in the AgriBank Asset Pool Program compared to the prior year.

The change in other income was primarily due to our share of the Allocated Insurance Reserve Accounts (AIRA) distribution received from the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC) of \$2.3 million, as well as an increase in multi-peril crop insurance sales and retail fees. The AIRA was established by FCSIC when premiums collected increased the level of the Insurance Fund beyond the required 2 percent of insured debt. There was no distribution in 2017. Refer to the 2017 Annual Report for additional information about the FCSIC.

The change in operating expenses was primarily due to the change in technology platforms that we completed during the third quarter of 2018.

The change in (benefit from) provision for income taxes was primarily due to a net loss on our taxable entities as a result of increased operating expenses related to the technology conversion noted above, along with a decrease in federal statutory tax rates to 21% from 35%, effective January 1, 2018, due to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act that was enacted in December of 2017.

FUNDING, LIQUIDITY, AND CAPITAL

We borrow from AgriBank, under a note payable, in the form of a line of credit. Our note payable matures on July 31, 2020, at which time the note will be renegotiated. The repricing attributes of our line of credit generally correspond to the repricing attributes of our loan portfolio, which significantly reduces our market interest rate risk. Due to the cooperative structure of the Farm Credit System and as we are a stockholder of AgriBank, we expect this borrowing relationship to continue into the foreseeable future. Our other source of lendable funds is from unallocated surplus. At September 30, 2018, gross loans are funded 80.8% by the direct note and 19.2% by unallocated surplus.

The components of cost of funds associated with our note payable include:

- A marginal cost of debt component
- A spread component, which includes cost of servicing, cost of liquidity, and bank profit
- A risk premium component, if applicable

We were not subject to a risk premium at September 30, 2018, or December 31, 2017.

Total members' equity increased \$49.7 million from December 31, 2017, primarily due to net income for the period. Accumulated other comprehensive loss is the impact of prior service cost and unamortized actuarial gain/loss related to the Pension Restoration Plan. Refer to Note 8 in our 2017 Annual Report for more information on the Pension Restoration Plan.

The Farm Credit Administration (FCA) Regulations require us to maintain minimums for our common equity tier 1, tier 1 capital, total capital, and permanent capital risk-based capital ratios. In addition, the FCA requires us to maintain minimums for our non-risk-adjusted ratios of tier 1 leverage and unallocated retained earnings and equivalents. Refer to Note 6 in our 2017 Annual Report for a more complete description of these ratios.

Regulatory Capital Requirements and Ratios

December 31	Regulatory	Conservation	
2017	Minimums	Buffer	Total
18.2%	4.5%	2.5%*	7.0%
18.2%	6.0%	2.5%*	8.5%
18.3%	8.0%	2.5%*	10.5%
18.2%	7.0%	N/A	7.0%
20.0%	4.0%	1.0%	5.0%
21.1%	1.5%	N/A	1.5%
~	6 20.0%	6 20.0% 4.0%	6 20.0% 4.0% 1.0%

*The 2.5% capital conservation buffer over risk-adjusted ratio minimums is being phased in through 2020 under the FCA capital requirements.

The capital adequacy ratios are directly impacted by the changes in capital as more fully explained in this section and the changes in assets as discussed in the Loan Portfolio section.

REGULATORY MATTERS

Investment Securities Eligibility

In May 2018, the FCA Board approved a final rule to revise the requirements governing the eligibility of investment securities for System Banks and associations. The new regulation revises the eligibility purpose, type, and amount of investments that a System association may hold. The regulation is effective January 1, 2019. We are currently working to update policies, procedures, and other documentation to ensure compliance by the effective date. We currently do not have investment securities on our Consolidated Statements of Condition.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned have reviewed the September 30, 2018, Quarterly Report of Farm Credit Illinois, ACA, which has been prepared under the oversight of the Audit Committee and in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements. The information contained herein is true, accurate, and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Mark Miller

Mark Miller Chairperson of the Board Farm Credit Illinois, ACA

homas

Thomas H. Tracy President & Chief Executive Officer Farm Credit Illinois, ACA

7 Osohe

Kelly D. Loschen Chief Financial Officer Farm Credit Illinois, ACA

November 9, 2018

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION Farm Credit Illinois, ACA

Farm Credit Illinois, A (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	September 30	December 31
As of:	2018	2017
ASSETS		
Loans	\$ 4,169,093	\$ 4,073,787
Allowance for loan losses	10,524	7,111
Net loans	4,158,569	4,066,676
Investment in AgriBank, FCB	93,452	95,206
Accrued interest receivable	63,521	49,442
Deferred tax assets, net	681	
Other assets	54,077	52,850
Total assets	\$ 4,370,300	\$ 4,264,174
LIABILITIES		
Note payable to AgriBank, FCB	\$ 3,367,470	\$ 3,314,191
Accrued interest payable	20,680	16,341
Deferred tax liabilities, net		145
Other liabilities	13,312	14,386
Total liabilities	3,401,462	3,345,063
Contingencies and commitments (Note 4)		
MEMBERS' EQUITY		
Protected members' equity		4
Capital stock and participation certificates	7,557	7,922
Unallocated surplus	962,246	912,270
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	 (965)	 (1,085)
Total members' equity	968,838	919,111
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$ 4,370,300	\$ 4,264,174

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Farm Credit Illinois, ACA (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months	Ended	Nine Months Ended			
For the period ended September 30	2018	2017		2018	2017	
Interest income	\$ 45,854 \$	40,347	\$	131,295 \$	115,980	
Interest expense	20,680	17,073		57,816	44,482	
Net interest income	25,174	23,274		73,479	71,498	
Provision for loan losses	226	372		3,468	1,574	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	24,948	22,902		70,011	69,924	
Other income						
Patronage income	4,317	6,375		13,295	14,811	
Financially related services income	2,080	1,825		5,653	4,809	
Fee income	850	392		1,828	1,308	
Allocated Insurance Reserve Accounts distribution				2,318		
Miscellaneous income, net	23	54		113	175	
Total other income	7,270	8,646		23,207	21,103	
Operating expenses						
Salaries and employee benefits	7,309	7,445		22,433	22,423	
Other operating expenses	10,139	5,610		22,300	15,363	
Total operating expenses	17,448	13,055		44,733	37,786	
Income before income taxes	14,770	18,493		48,485	53,241	
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(428)	310		(1,491)	593	
Net income	\$ 15,198 \$	18,183	\$	49,976 \$	52,648	
Other comprehensive income						
Employee benefit plans activity	\$ 40 \$		\$	120 \$		
Total other comprehensive income	40			120		
Comprehensive income	\$ 15,238 \$	18,183	\$	50,096 \$	52,648	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBERS' EQUITY

Farm Credit Illinois, ACA (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Protected Members' Equity	Capital Stock and Participation Certificates	Unallocated Surplus	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Members' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2016 Net income Capital stock and participation certificates issued Capital stock and participation certificates retired	\$ 5 (1)	\$ 8,035 369 (477)	\$ 838,924 52,648 	\$ 	\$ 846,964 52,648 369 (478)
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$ 4	\$ 7,927	\$ 891,572	\$ 	\$ 899,503
Balance at December 31, 2017 Net income Other comprehensive income Capital stock and participation certificates issued Capital stock and participation certificates retired	\$ 4 (4)	\$ 7,922 323 (688)	\$ 912,270 49,976 	\$ (1,085) 120 	\$ 919,111 49,976 120 323 (692)
Balance at September 30, 2018	\$ 	\$ 7,557	\$ 962,246	\$ (965)	\$ 968,838

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1: ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Consolidated Financial Statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim consolidated financial condition and consolidated results of operations. Our accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and the prevailing practices within the financial services industry. This interim Quarterly Report is prepared based upon statutory and regulatory requirements and in accordance with GAAP. However, certain disclosures required by GAAP are omitted. The results of the nine months ended September 30, 2018, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2018. The interim financial statements and the related notes in this Quarterly Report should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 (2017 Annual Report).

The Consolidated Financial Statements present the consolidated financial results of Farm Credit Illinois, ACA (the Association) and its subsidiaries Farm Credit Illinois, FLCA and Farm Credit Illinois, PCA (the subsidiaries). All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Recently Issued or Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

We have assessed the potential impact of accounting standards that have been issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and have determined the following standards to be applicable to our business. While we are a nonpublic entity, we generally adopt on the public entity required date to align with other Farm Credit System institutions. For recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements disclosed, we plan to adopt on the public entity effective date.

Standard and effective date In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." This guidance was effective for public entities on January 1, 2018.	Description The guidance governs revenue recognition from contracts with customers and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Financial instruments and other contractual rights within the scope of other guidance issued by the FASB are excluded from the scope of this guidance. The guidance sets forth the requirement for new and enhanced disclosures.	Adoption status and financial statement impact We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2018, using the modified retrospective approach, as the majority of our revenues are not subject to the new guidance. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on the financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.
In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-07 "Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Cost." This guidance was effective for public entities on January 1, 2018.	This guidance requires that an employer disaggregate the service cost component from the other components of net benefit cost. Specifically, the guidance requires non-service cost components of net benefit cost to be recognized in a non-operating income line item of the income statement and allow only the service cost component of net benefit cost to be eligible for capitalization.	We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2018. The adoption of the guidance did not impact our financial condition or cash flows, but did result in an immaterial change to the classification of certain items in the results of operations. The components of net periodic benefit cost other than the service cost component are included in the other operating expenses line item on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. As the change in classification was immaterial, there were no retroactive adjustments to the Statements of Comprehensive Income. There were no material changes to the financial statement disclosures.
In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01 "Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." This guidance was effective for public business entities on January 1, 2018.	The guidance is intended to enhance the reporting model for financial instruments to provide users of financial statements with more decision-useful information. The amendments address certain aspects of recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial statements.	We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2018. The adoption of this guidance did not impact our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows, but did impact our fair value disclosures.

Standard and effective date In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02 "Leases." In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-11 "Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements." The guidance is effective for public entities in its first quarter of 2019 and early adoption is permitted.	Description The guidance modifies the recognition and accounting for lessees and lessors and requires expanded disclosures regarding assumptions used to recognize revenue and expenses related to leases. When this guidance is adopted, a liability for lease obligations and a corresponding right-of-use asset will be recognized on the Consolidated Statements of Condition for all lease arrangements spanning more than 12 months. The guidance includes an optional transition method where an entity is permitted to apply the guidance as of the adoption date and recognize a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings.	Adoption status and financial statement impact We have no plans to early adopt this guidance. We are in the process of system selection, drafting accounting policies, and designing processes and controls to implement this standard. The necessary disclosures will be determined during 2018. We have determined after preliminary review, this guidance will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, and financial statement disclosures, and will have no impact on cash flows.
In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-15 "Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement that is a Service Contract." The guidance is effective for our first quarter of 2020 and early adoption is permitted.	The guidance clarifies that implementation costs incurred in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract should be accounted for in the same manner as implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal-use software.	We are in the process of reviewing the accounting standard. Based on our preliminary review and analysis, this new guidance will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and financial statement disclosures.
In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016- 13 "Financial Instruments – Credit Losses." This guidance is effective for public business entities for non-U.S. Securities Exchange Commission filers for the first quarter of 2021 and early adoption is permitted.	The guidance replaces the current incurred loss impairment methodology with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. Credit losses relating to available-for- sale securities would also be recorded through an allowance for credit losses.	We have no plans to early adopt this guidance. We are in the process of reviewing the standard. Significant implementation matters yet to be addressed include system selection, drafting of accounting policies and disclosures, and designing processes and controls. We are currently unable to estimate the impact on the financial statements.

NOTE 2: LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans by Type

As of:	September 30,	2018	December 31,	2017
	 Amount	%	 Amount	%
Real estate mortgage	\$ 2,357,832	56.6%	\$ 2,278,901	55.9%
Production and intermediate-term	812,235	19.5%	864,617	21.2%
Agribusiness	775,279	18.6%	742,575	18.2%
Other	 223,747	5.3%	 187,694	4.7%
Total	\$ 4,169,093	100.0%	\$ 4,073,787	100.0%

The other category is primarily comprised of rural infrastructure and agricultural export finance related loans and certain assets originated under the mission related investment authority.

Delinquency

Aging Analysis of Loans	30-89	90 Days			Not Past Due		٨	
(in the user do)		,	Total		Less than 30		AC	cruing Loans
(in thousands)	Days	or More	Total					90 Days or
As of September 30, 2018	Past Due	Past Due	Past Due	D	ays Past Due	Total	Mo	ore Past Due
Real estate mortgage	\$ 684	\$ 2,730	\$ 3,414	\$	2,398,852	\$ 2,402,266	\$	326
Production and intermediate-term	236	2,708	2,944		824,727	827,671		958
Agribusiness					778,493	778,493		
Other	 				224,184	224,184		
Total	\$ 920	\$ 5,438	\$ 6,358	\$	4,226,256	\$ 4,232,614	\$	1,284

As of December 31, 2017	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	or	Not Past Due Less than 30 Days Past Due	Total	cruing Loans 90 Days or ore Past Due
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,953	\$ 1,677	\$ 3,630	\$	2,306,877	\$ 2,310,507	\$
Production and intermediate-term	1,842	1,284	3,126		875,742	878,868	
Agribusiness	293		293		745,287	745,580	
Other	 				188,274	188,274	
Total	\$ 4,088	\$ 2,961	\$ 7,049	\$	4,116,180	\$ 4,123,229	\$

Note: Accruing loans include accrued interest receivable.

Risk Loans

Risk loans are loans for which it is probable that all principal and interest will not be collected according to the contractual terms.

Risk Loan Information				
(in thousands)	5	September 30	D	December 31
As of:		2018		2017
Volume with specific allowance	\$	550	\$	679
Volume without specific allowance		6,751		4,971
Total risk loans	\$	7,301	\$	5,650
Total specific allowance	\$	366	\$	529
For the nine months ended September 30		2018		2017
Income on accrual risk loans	\$	57	\$	26
Income on nonaccrual loans		328		296
Total income on risk loans	\$	385	\$	322
Average risk loans	\$	7,300	\$	6,295

Note: Accruing loans include accrued interest receivable.

We did not have any material commitments to lend additional money to borrowers whose loans were classified as risk loans at September 30, 2018.

Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs)

In situations where, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, we grant a concession for other than an insignificant period of time to the borrower that we would not otherwise consider, the related loan is classified as a troubled debt restructuring, also known as a restructured loan. A concession is generally granted in order to minimize economic loss and avoid foreclosure. Concessions vary by program and borrower and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals, or an acceptance of additional collateral in lieu of payments. In limited circumstances, principal may be forgiven. Loans classified as TDRs are considered risk loans. All risk loans are analyzed within our allowance for loan losses. We record a specific allowance to reduce the carrying amount of the restructured loan to the lower of book value or net realizable value of collateral.

We completed TDRs of certain production and intermediate-term loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2018, and 2017. Our recorded investment in these loans just prior to and immediately following the restructuring was \$78 thousand and \$127 thousand during the nine months ended September 30, 2018, and 2017, respectively. The recorded investment of the loan is the unpaid principal amount of the receivable increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium, discount, finance charges, and acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct charge-off.

The primary types of modification included extension of maturity and forgiveness of interest.

We had TDRs in the production and intermediate-term loan category of \$14 thousand and \$24 thousand that defaulted during the nine months ended September 30, 2018, and 2017, respectively in which the modifications were within twelve months of the respective reporting period.

TDRs Outstanding in the Production	and Intermediate-Term Loan Category
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

(in thousands) As of:	Sept	ember 30 2018	December 31 2017
TDRs in accrual status TDRs in nonaccrual status	\$	67 184	\$ 110 254
Total TDRs	\$	251	\$ 364

There were no commitments to lend to borrowers whose loans have been modified in a TDR at September 30, 2018.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Changes in Allowance for Loan Losses

(in thousands) Nine months ended September 30	2018	2017
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 7,111 \$	6,420
Provision for loan losses	3,468	1,574
Loan recoveries	423	370
Loan charge-offs	 (478)	(293)
Balance at end of period	\$ 10,524 \$	8,071

NOTE 3: OTHER INVESTMENT

We and other Farm Credit Institutions are among the limited partners for a Rural Business Investment Company (RBIC). Our total commitment is \$2.0 million through September 2021, with an option to extend under certain circumstances. Our investment in the RBIC is recorded in "Other assets" in the Consolidated Statements of Condition, and totaled \$43 thousand at September 30, 2018. We were not invested in the RBIC in 2017.

The investment was evaluated for impairment. The investment was not impaired as of September 30, 2018.

NOTE 4: CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, we have various contingent liabilities and commitments outstanding, primarily commitments to extend credit, which may not be reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements. We do not anticipate any material losses because of these contingencies or commitments.

We may be named as a defendant in certain lawsuits or legal actions in the normal course of business. At the date of these Consolidated Financial Statements, our management team was not aware of any material actions. However, management cannot ensure that such actions or other contingencies will not arise in the future.

NOTE 5: FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Accounting guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy, with three input levels that may be used to measure fair value. Refer to Note 2 in our 2017 Annual Report for a more complete description of the three input levels.

We did not have any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2018, or December 31, 2017.

Non-Recurring

We may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

(in thousands)		A	s of Septen	nber	30, 2018				
	 Fair Value Measurement Using								
	 Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Value		
Impaired loans	\$ 	\$		\$	193	\$	193		
	As of December 31, 2017								
	Fair Va	lue N	/leasuremer	nt Usi	ng	-	Total Fai		
	 Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	-	Value		
Impaired loans	\$ 	\$		\$	157	\$	157		

Valuation Techniques

Impaired loans: Represents the carrying amount and related write-downs of loans which were evaluated for individual impairment based on the appraised value of the underlying collateral. When the value of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value. If the process uses independent appraisals and other observable market-based information, they are classified as Level 2. If the process requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters, they are classified as Level 3.

NOTE 6: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have evaluated subsequent events through November 9, 2018, which is the date the Consolidated Financial Statements were available to be issued. There have been no material subsequent events that would require recognition in our Quarterly Report or disclosure in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.